"White Hat Phishing" - lessons learnt

Steve, aka @snori74

- Defensive security guy, some Linux skills
- Spent years on email and spam
- Working for a small IT company
- A few clients with hundreds of staff
- Most under 100 and many under 50
- Like a large organisation with several divisions

Ransomware -> "we need to train users"

...hence considering white hat phishing

Ethical issues

Fire drills? ... not really because:

- No warning given
- No "this is a drill" re-assurance
- People will "fail" perhaps sensitive, perhaps senior

Our approach:

- Authorisation from two senior staff
- No "shaming" of those caught out

We run "phishing" campaigns against ourselves to practice, and measure our level of caution

SaaS or not?

Many SaaS, and open source options

- SaaS for a smaller business 'doing it themselves'
- A user-friendly SaaS product still has a learning curve
- We thought we had the skills to self-host
- As consultants, the freedom was attractive

We chose 'gophish'



Big hits - or little trickles?

Two contrasting approaches:

- One or two carefully crafted "phishes"
- A slew of low quality opportunistic scam emails

The first will catch many more staff, but:

- > We aim to "innoculate" users
- Giving users practice at *recognising* malicious emails
- > Testing that they handle them according to policy



Tue 18/09/2018 10:29 a.m.

Facebook (notification+m-5wpvvm@facebookmail.com)

<notification5wpvvn

Melissa Jones (friends with Katie) commented on a post that you're tagged in.

To Steve Brorens

facebook

Melissa Jones (friends with Katie) commented on a post that you're tagged in.

Melissa wrote: "Amazing stuff...!!"

Reply to this email to comment on this status.



To Spear or not?

A different approach to training and follow-up:

- It will be expensive
- Considerable time for each target
- A creative, 'sneaky' mindset is required
- These can feel *very* exploitative
- Around 50% of targets will "get caught"

...which is a lot of annoyed senior staff!

Who to pretend to be?

- It's pointless to send NZ staff email "from" Wells Fargo
- Problems (!) with pretending to be ANZ or BNZ

We find *facebook.com* and *linkedin.com* catch plenty of targets...

...and far enough away that they don't hassle us!

Getting 'creds'

This is the *definition* of 'phishing', but...

We no longer even *pretend* to do this, because:

- A user clicking "Login page" has already been fooled
- If we collect creds then we need to guard them well
- A user has no way to verify our "pretend" capture
- Cred capture unnecessarily stresses the user

Tell them or not?

Good to give the user a *little* scare!

...and perhaps some brief training

Our "scare page"

- Tells them they have fallen for a "simulated phish"
- No harm has been done
- They should have been suspicious

Training:

- Was it from someone they didn't know?
- Was it 'out of character' in some way?

An example 'scare' page

Please be careful with email

The email that led you here was sent as part of an **Email Phishing Awareness Test** run by CommArc Consulting Limited on behalf of **Example Corp**.

The test is designed to help you identify and avoid unsafe emails. It was carried out at the request of **Anne Able**, and the approval of **Mr Ben Baker**. Please contact them if you have any questions.

In this case, no harm has been done - but you need to improve your email habits.

This page is completely safe. However, it could just as easily have been a malicious attack designed to compromise your systems.

The email will have had some warning signs.

- It may have came from an unfamiliar sender.
- · Was from someone you know but was out of character
- It asked you to click on a link you weren't expecting to receive.

Reporting

Our current approach:

- Written to be clear, simple and accessible
- Suitable to be sent to all staff
- Does not include details of "who fell"*
- Has clear graph of performance
- Comparison with past tests

* Full technical logs are provided to managment in Excel format

De-brief/training

- Make sure everyone has the results
- Ensure that internal policies are clear
- Half-hour "all hands" meeting with Q&A
- Such "simulated phishing" is now standard
- We expect improvement over time

Most organisations have 30-50% "fail" rate initially After two 'tests', this falls towards 10%

Because we use the same 'suite' of phishes for all customers, we can almost rigourously say that this is true.

Overview of usage



'gophish' and 'phishbuckets'

https://github.com/gophish

	O https://getgophish.com ⊠ hi@getgophish.com	
📮 Repositorie	es 10 Reople 0 Projects 0	
Pinned repositories		
gophish	healthcheck	api-client-python

https://github.com/CommArc/phishbuckets



Other options...

- PhishMe / Cofense, SaaS
- KnowBe4, SaaS
- Duo Insight
- SANS Antiphishing Simulation
- King Phisher, OSS
- TrendMicro SaaS

All these differ considerably...



Steve Brorens

